



# OKLAHOMA ENERGY RESOURCES BOARD

Operational Audit

For the period July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023

Cindy Byrd, CPA
State Auditor & Inspector

# Audit Report of the Oklahoma Energy Resources Board

For the Period July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023



# Cindy Byrd, CPA | State Auditor & Inspector

2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 123, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 | 405.521.3495 | www.sai.ok.gov

June 27, 2024

#### TO THE OKLAHOMA ENERGY RESOURCES BOARD

We present the audit report of the Oklahoma Energy Resources Board (OERB) for the period July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023. The goal of the State Auditor and Inspector is to promote accountability and fiscal integrity in state and local government. Maintaining our independence as we provide this service to the taxpayers of Oklahoma is of utmost importance.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during our engagement.

This report is a public document pursuant to the Oklahoma Open Records Act (51 O.S. § 24A.1 et seq.) and shall be open to any person for inspection and copying.

Sincerely,

CINDY BYRD, CPA

OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

#### Background

The Oklahoma Energy Resources Board (OERB or the Agency) was created by the Oklahoma Legislature in 1993 to conduct environmental restoration for orphaned and abandoned well sites and to educate Oklahomans about energy. OERB is funded voluntarily by oil and natural gas producers and royalty owners through a one-tenth of one percent assessment on the sale of oil and natural gas.

The mission of the OERB is to use the strength of Oklahoma's greatest industry to improve the lives of all Oklahomans through education and restoration.

The OERB is governed by an unpaid 21-member board. Representation is divided between independent oil and natural gas companies, major oil and natural gas companies, petroleum purchasers and royalty owners. (Note: the remaining four have not been yet appointed.)

### Board members as of April 2024 are:

David Le Norman	Chairman
Kristin Thomas	Vice Chairman
Heather Powell	Secretary
Ty Peck	Treasurer
Mike McDonald	Member
Pete Brown	
Joe Brevetti	Member
Christopher Hyde	Member
Samantha Omey	
Justin Byrne	Member
David Ferris	
Greg Shepherd	Member
Richard Lewis	
Elizabeth Brown	Member
David Sikes	Member
Shilpa Abbitt	
David Little	

The following table summarizes the Agency's sources and uses of funds for July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2023 (FY 2022 included for informational purposes).

#### Sources and Uses of Funds for FY 2022 and FY 2023

	2022	2023
Sources:		
Energy Resources Assessment	\$ 23,156,147	\$ 28,232,673
SOER Fee	858,436	870,089
Other Non-Revenue Receipts	260,761	620,191
Interest on Investments	379,279	 513,700
<b>Total Sources</b>	\$ 24,654,623	\$ 30,236,653
Uses:		
Professional Services	\$ 14,636,716	\$ 21,107,769
Assistance, Payments to Local Govn'ts	2,650,683	4,951,837
Administrative Expenses	599,316	 896,982
Total Uses	\$ 17,886,715	\$ 26,956,588

Source: Oklahoma State Accounting System (unaudited, for informational purposes only)

# Scope and Methodology

Our audit was conducted in response to 74 O.S. § 212, which requires the State Auditor and Inspector's office to audit the books and accounts of all state agencies whose duty it is to collect, disburse, or manage funds of the state and 52 O.S. § 288.5.9, which subjects OERB's financial records to an annual, independent audit.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

In planning and conducting our audit, we focused on the major financial-related areas of operations based on assessment of materiality and risk for the period July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023. To assess risk and develop our audit objective, we held discussions with management and performed data analysis. These procedures included:

- Reviewing revenue and expenditure data from the State
   Accounting System and gathering information from Agency
   personnel to assess the related financial processes and trends for
   any notable risks.
- Reviewing the Agency's board meeting minutes and pertinent statutes, regulations, and contracts, and assessing related risks.

One objective related to expenditures was developed as a result of the procedures performed, as discussed in the next section. No other significant risks or findings were identified.

We utilized sampling of transactions to achieve our objectives. To ensure the samples were representative of the population and provided sufficient, appropriate evidence, the random sample methodology was used. We identified specific attributes for testing each of the samples and when appropriate, we projected our results to the population.

Because of the inherent limitations of an audit, combined with the inherent limitations of internal control, errors or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, projections of any evaluation of internal control to future periods are subject to the risk that conditions may change or compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate.

#### **Internal Control Considerations**

The Government Accountability Office (GAO) emphasizes the importance of internal controls at all levels of government entities. Their *Standards for Internal Control*<sup>1</sup> outline the five overarching components of internal control: the control environment, risk assessment, information and communication, monitoring, and detailed control activities. Any component considered significant to our audit objectives is assessed during our procedures and included as appropriate in this report.

The *Standards for Internal Control* underscore that an internal control system is effective only when the five components of internal control are operating together in an integrated manner. They also stress that documentation is a necessary part of an effective internal control system and is required to demonstrate its design, implementation, and operating effectiveness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government, or the "Green Book," sets standards and the overall framework for an effective internal control system in federal agencies and is treated as best practices for other levels of government. Last update 2014, accessible online at <a href="https://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-14-704G">https://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-14-704G</a>.

### **OBJECTIVE**

Determine whether the agency's internal control system is operating effectively in line with Government Accountability Office *Standards for Internal Control* to ensure expenditures, including refunds, are independently reviewed and comply with statutes 52 O.S. § 288.5E and 288.9A.

#### Conclusion

The Agency's internal control system is operating effectively in line with GAO *Standards for Internal Control* to ensure expenditures, including refunds, are independently reviewed and comply with statutes 52 O.S. § 288.5E and 288.9A.

## Scope and Methodology

To accomplish our objective, we performed the following:

- Documented our understanding of the expenditure and refund processes. Evaluated those processes and identified significant internal controls related to expenditures, including refunds.
- Performed testwork to ensure controls were operating effectively by reviewing three randomly selected monthly reconciliation report packets from the audit period (25% of 12 months) to ensure they were approved by the Director and sent to the Board Treasurer for additional review.
- Reviewed the following in addition to that testwork:
  - Nine haphazardly selected contract expenditures, three from each of the three months selected above, to ensure the expenditures paid were approved by the Director and allowable per the applicable vendor contracts.
  - Five haphazardly selected refund packets from the audit period to ensure the refunds paid were approved by the Director, requested by the required deadline, and supported with affidavits containing the information outlined in 52 O.S. § 288.5E and 52 O.S. § 288.9A.

No findings were identified as a result of these procedures.



